### National Transportation Safety Board Washington, DC 20594

#### **Brief of Accident**

#### Adopted 09/30/2014

ERA13LA174 File No. 33124	03/18/2013	Panama City, El	Aircraft Peg No	N86AB	Tim	
Make/Model	Diper/DA-25-235		Alleran Neg No.	Fatal	Serious	Minor/None
Engine Make/Model Engine Make/Model Aircraft Damage Number of Engines Operating Certificate(s) Type of Flight Operation Reg. Flight Conducted Under	Lycoming / O-540-B2C5 Substantial None Banner Tow Part 91: General Aviation		Crew Pass	0 0	0	1 0
Last Depart. Point: Panama City, FL Destination: Local Flight, FL Airport Proximity: Off Airport/Airstrip			Condition of Light: Day Weather Info Src: Weather Observation Facility Basic Weather: Visual Conditions Lowest Ceiling: 2600 Ft. AGL, Broken Visibility: 10.00 SM Wind Dir/Speed: 130 / 016 kts Temperature (°C): 23 Precip/Obscuration: No Obscuration; No Precipitation			
Pilot-in-Command Age: 60		Flight Time (Hours)				
Certificate(s)/Rating(s) Airline Transport; Commercial; Multi-engine Land; Single-engine Sea			Total All Aircraft: 4273 Last 90 Days: 73 Total Make/Model: 67			
Instrument Ratings Airplane			Total Instrument Time: 183			

\*\*\* Note: NTSB investigators may not have traveled in support of this investigation and used data provided by various sources to prepare this aircraft accident report. \*\*\*

The pilot departed and picked up an aerial advertising banner for a local flight. About 6 minutes later, the airplane's engine suddenly lost all power. The pilot unsuccessfully attempted to troubleshoot the loss of engine power, jettisoned the banner at an altitude of about 500 feet, and performed a forced landing to a pine forest below, which resulted in substantial damage to the airplane. Postaccident examination of the engine revealed that the crankshaft gear alignment dowel had sheared and that the gear had rotated from its normal position. The gear's attaching hardware remained installed and undamaged. Detailed examination of the gear and alignment dowel showed that their hardness was consistent with that required by the design and that they were within or nearly within nominal dimensional tolerances described by the manufacturer. The counter-bored pilot hole at the aft of the crankshaft where the gear was seated was between 0.0008 and 0.0013-inch oversized. There were no discrepancies found with the retaining bolt, and it could be threaded into the crankshaft with minimal resistance. A definitive cause for the loss of preload to the crankshaft gear attaching bolt could not be determined during the engine examination.Review of maintenance records showed that the engine had been installed onto the accident airplane following an overhaul, which was originally precipitated by a propeller strike. The records provided that documented the overhaul and returned the airplane/engine to service lacked language specifying compliance with a manufacturer service bulletin that provided explicit instructions for the installation of the crankshaft gear to the crankshaft; however, the provided records did document part numbers and torque values consistent with those specified by the service bulletin.

ERA13LA174				
File No. 33124	03/18/2013	Panama City ,FL	Aircraft Reg No. N86AB	Time (Local): 14:05 CDT

Updated at Sep 30 2014 11:28AM

ERA13LA174				
File No. 33124	03/18/2013	Panama City ,FL	Aircraft Reg No. N86AB	Time (Local): 14:05 CDT

# OCCURRENCES

Enroute-cruise - Loss of engine power (total) Emergency descent - Off-field or emergency landing Emergency descent - Controlled flight into terr/obj (CFIT)

## FINDINGS

Aircraft-Aircraft power plant-Engine (reciprocating)-Recip eng rear section-Fatigue/wear/corrosion - C Not determined-Not determined-(general)-(general)-Unknown/Not determined - C

Findings Legend: (C) = Cause, (F) = Factor

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident as follows:

A loss of preload to the crankshaft gear attachment bolt, resulting in rotation of the crankshaft gear and a subsequent total loss of engine power. The root cause for the loss of preload could not be determined during a postaccident examination of the components.